Dear Bruce Kurek, 9/11/91

For all these years I've tried to respond to all letters and all questuons possible but there is a limit and you have exceeded it! While I have no recollection of what I told you, I know from the beginning of your letter that I told you my age and the state of my health preclude my engaging in chit-chat or what I regard as not worth my time now.

So you send me nine pages of closely-written questions and chit-chat, beginning with the latter, "You should have (sic) the onslaught of health assaults that I have had." All I can say is that if you meant I am lucky not ~~have~~ to have had your assaults and am lucky with what I did have, you do have my sympathies!

One of my serious problems is with greatly-reduced vision. So you use thin paper and write on both side. This inevitably means shin-through and that makes any effort to read it a practical impossibility for me.

Moreover, it is chit-chat and I won't take any time for that.

I did try to read Earthur but had to give it up. However, one question was clear, what do I think of the Ricky White story. This, really, is greasy kid stuff and I have to assume that if you ask it you either lack too much knowledge or have little or no judgement or are a fan of idle, at least to me, conspiracy theories. I take no time for them at all except when it seems that they need debunking.

There were a few other places where I could read and you ask chit-chat questions there, do I have anything to do with the AARC (no) and have I had mail and other interferences (yes but not in years) and something about the mythology, which I also have nothing to do with, about those alleged "tramps" who were not tramps and had no possible connection with the crime.

I do hope you can understand that I can't take time to educate everyone who has any assassination interest or, more politely, tell him he does not know what he is talking about, or to discuss other books, like Lifton's, or tell you what I think of a Congressman who chose not to run for re-election more than a decade ago, Downing, or go into the specifics of the unreasonable and untenable (Harrelson). If I wasted time this way I'd get nothing at all done instead of the little I am now able to do.

If you cannot understand this, I'm sorry.

I've gone to some trouble to make what I know and have available, particularly in the seven boks I've published. These are factual. They advance no theories as fact, as most of the literature does, yet you have no interest in these books, which is to say you have no interest in fact. Without fact you can, of course, imagine anything in the world and believe it no matter how impossible it may be. In this you are not alone. Most of the available literature is theoretical and baseless, and as those authors have every right to be irresponsible, as most are, you have as much right to be interested in what at its best is nuttiness.

But I hope you can understand that I have a right to have nothing to do with anything like this trash that interests you. I return the stamps and I will not respond further. Best,

Harold Weisberg